

Keynote Speech

Science and Technology in the Post-Hyogo Framework for Action-

Han Seung-soo

Special Envoy of the UN Secretary General on Disaster Risk Reduction and Water

Founding Chair, High-Level Experts and Leaders Panel on Water and Disaster

&

Former Prime Minister, Republic of Korea

Tokyo Conference on International Study for Disaster Risk Reduction and Resilience

Ito Hall, University of Tokyo,

Tokyo, Japan

14 January 2015

Your Imperial Highness Crown Prince Naruhito of Japan

Excellencies,

Distinguished Participants,

Ladies and Gentlemen,

I am very pleased to speak to you today at this important Tokyo Conference on International Study for Disaster Risk Reduction and Resilience at the University of Tokyo.

I would like to thank the organizers, the Science Council of Japan (SCJ), United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR), Integrated Research on Disaster Risk (IRDR), and especially the University of Tokyo for hosting this event.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Never in mankind's history has there been more need for action on disaster risk reduction than today.

According to the Sendai Report of 2012 prepared by the Japanese Government and the World Bank, disasters hurt poor and vulnerable people the most. Since 1980, low income countries have accounted for only 9% of the disaster events but 48% of the fatalities. Disasters affect the poor and vulnerable disproportionately, especially women, children, the elderly, and those recovering from the impact of conflicts.

Disasters also cause major economic impact. The economic losses from disasters over the past 30 years are estimated at \$3.5 trillion. 2011 was the costliest on record, seeing estimated losses of around \$380 billion. The recent floods in Thailand cost the equivalent of 5% of the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP), while the economic losses from Japan's Northeast earthquake and tsunami in 2011 were estimated to be equivalent to 4% of GDP. In low income and small island states, the impact can exceed an equivalent of 100% of GDP. The economic impact of the Haiti earthquake in 2010 was equal to 120% of its GDP, while the 2004 Grenada hurricane caused losses equivalent to more than 200% of GDP.

Climate change has been exacerbating the extremes in disasters, including hydro-meteorological events. According to a recent IPCC Report¹, despite a growing number of climate change mitigation policies,

annual GHG emissions grew on average more during 2000 to 2010 compared to 1970 to 2000. Without additional efforts to reduce GHG emissions beyond those in place today, the global mean surface temperature is expected to increase in 2100 from 3.7°C to 4.8°C compared to pre-industrial levels. This was mainly due to rapid economic growth and this pattern is expected to continue for some time.

Together with other global drivers under change – population growth, rapid urbanization, increased asset values – this may result in increased frequencies and even higher impacts of disasters. It is high time for a more effective and efficient approach to disaster risk reduction.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

The year 2015 is a crucial juncture for the international community. Not only will the United Nations celebrate its 70th anniversary and look retrospectively on its success and failure of the past seven decades for lessons learnt, but it will also be the year where all the Members States will unite behind a universal and transformative development agenda for the next decade and half. 2015 is also the last deadline for Millennium Development Goals(MDGs) implementation. Besides the 3rd World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction in Sendai in March, 2015 will have many more milestones including the Financing for Development Conference in Addis Ababa in July, the launch of the post-2015 development agenda and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in September in New York and a globally binding climate deal at the COP21 in Paris in December. Therefore much preparation is needed

This year is further noteworthy for the international community in the context of the Hyogo Framework for Action 2005-2015 coming to its completion. At this juncture, I would like to recapitulate the history of the Hyogo Framework:

- In 1990, UN Member States declared the International Decade for post-2015 development agenda.
- In 1994, the Yokohama Strategy and Plan of Action for a Safer World was adopted at the First World Conference on Natural Disasters.
- In 1999, the United Nations General Assembly adopted the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR) and created the secretariat of the ISDR (UNISDR) with the purpose to ensure its implementation.
- In 2003 and 2004, the UNISDR secretariat carried out a review of the Yokohama Strategy and Plan of Action for a Safer World. The Yokohama Review formed the basis of the Hyogo Framework for Action and was submitted at the World Conference on Disaster Reduction in Kobe, Japan, in January 2005.

- In 2010 and 2011 UNISDR conducted a Mid-Term review of the Hyogo Framework for Action through a participatory approach involving stakeholders of disaster risk reduction.

There is no doubt Hyogo Framework was a great success in view of the statements made by Armenian Minister Armen Yeritsyan and Mongolian Deputy Prime Minister Terbishdagva among others.

Mongolian DPM Terbishdagva said on 8 May 2014, "Since implementing the Hyogo Framework for Action there has been some success in reducing the mortality rate from disasters but a new approach has to be considered because of the increasing economic and ecological losses we are experiencing."

Minister Armen Yeritsyan said on 16 July 2014, "We have seen significant gains in our country as a result of implementing the Hyogo Framework for Action, which Armenia adopted in 2005. We have strengthened our policies in risk reduction; integrated disaster risk reduction in government policies and plans; and recognized this issue as a country priority for our development and increasing security."

There are too many other examples of success stories of the Hyogo Framework to cite here. Suffice it to gladesh, and in the Caribbean islands.

However, there is also space for improvement as indicated in the Mid-Termmention in passing the examples of China, Netherlands, Ban Review. The Mid-Term Review found that there was unmet demand at country-level for science and technology inputs. For example, it is worth mentioning the gaps, especially for risk assessment, practical tools to address specific risks, ways to implement multi-hazard approaches, methods tailored to adaptation needs, economic evidence for advocacy purposes, and grater standardization of methods

The Hyogo Framework put significant emphasis on political and social factors in disaster risk reduction. This requires enhanced integration of the socio-political aspects with the scientific and technical aspects. Needless to say, this should not come at the cost of downplaying the role for science and technology in terms of the implementation of the framework.

The Third UN World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction (3rd WCDRR) will be held in Sendai, Japan on 14-18 March 2015.. The United Nations General Assembly Resolution in 2013 on International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR) states that the World Conference will result in a concise, focused, forward-looking, and action-oriented outcome document and will have the following objectives:

1. To complete assessment and review of the implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action;
2. To consider the experience gained through the regional and national strategies/institutions and plans for disaster risk reduction and their recommendations as well as relevant regional agreements

within the implementation of the Hyogo Framework of Action;

3. To adopt a post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction;
4. To identify modalities of cooperation based on commitments to implement a post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction;
5. To determine modalities to periodically review the implementation of a post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction.

The new framework should strengthen existing scientific and technical organizations such as the Integrated Research on Disaster Risk (IRDR).

As identified by the UNISDR, three core areas should be highlighted in the course of establishment of the post-Hyogo Framework for Action: 1) practical risk reduction; 2) science and technology capacity; and 3) advocacy.

First, on practical risk reduction, the international community should work together to mainstream practical methods and tools to reduce disaster risk in all sectors.

Second, on scientific and technological capacity, the international community should work together to support education, research, innovation, and problem-solving, and to develop the necessary supporting institutions and scientific experts

Third, on advocacy, the international community should work together to establish the evidence base for informing and persuading leaders and the general public on the wisdom and cost-effectiveness of disaster risk reduction.

Here, I would like to emphasize, as an integrating factor, the importance of creating national platforms where ministries, agencies and private and civil organizations engaged in disaster reduction activities coordinate and share information before, during and after disasters. This is crucial since coordination makes all the difference between life and death for hundreds and thousands of people.

Science community will play a pivotal role in the platform by bridging knowledge gaps among divergent actors. For instance, it could provide useful tools on collection and maintenance of data and statistics, on risk monitoring and assessment for planners, and on facilitating timely decisions by leaders. In addition, networks of national platforms will help share experiences globally and mainstream disaster risk reduction in the agenda of the international community.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

Having been a policy maker myself, I fully recognize that most policy makers can accept scientific and technical information only when it is easily understandable, relevant to the interests of those involved, and affordable. There are also numerous barriers to overcome, including a lack of political interest, conflicting views on priorities, inadequate institutional mechanisms, and a lack of knowledge, technical capacity and funding. However, this should not stop us from moving towards, accepting and leveraging science and technology.

I recognize that more emphasis should be placed on continuous commitments of the international community for supporting science and technology for Disaster Risk Reduction. In this context, the UN Secretary-General's Advisory Board on Water and Sanitation (UNSGAB) has already established the Hashimoto Action Plan, and the High-Level Experts and Leaders Panel on Water and Disaster (HELP) has also prepared the Action Plan on SDGs.

Recently high-level Water Advisory Group for providing guidance to the Water Community of Practice of the Asian Development Bank (ADB) was established. In 2013, ADB's Water Financing Program has increased investments and supported reforms in cities, rural communities, and river basins with annual investment target of about \$2.21 billion. In particular, it will help the Water Community of Practice to improve disaster-related science and technology and expand the nature of their operations from solely knowledge-sharing to influencing the strategic direction of ADB's operations.

Regarding water-related disasters, UNESCO-International Hydrological Program (IHP) has proposed a target to reduce water related disasters for the SDGs. By 2030, the international community should reduce the loss of human life and property from water-related disasters by 50% through improving the resilience of nations.

In order to proceed for the next phase of Hyogo Framework for Action, the role of science and technology is of great importance. The key to success of Disaster Risk Reduction requires an international agreement on the development of Disaster Risk Reduction monitoring and assessment technology, as well as knowledge and technology sharing and transfer between developed and developing countries. Action for Disaster Risk Reduction is an investment for the future and climate change adaptation. Such agendas should be thoroughly discussed on the occasion of the 3rd World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction in Sendai in March 2015.

Science and technology can accelerate progress in human development in the following ways:

- Science and technology facilitates the creation of new insights and methods, resolution of old challenges, and establishment of higher standards and improve evidence-based policies.

- Social and natural sciences contribute to educating people for good evidence-based decision-making and cost-effective implementation.
- However, there are prerequisites to development of science and technology and they are active leadership, support and coordination, at both national and international levels.

The shift towards more emphasis on science and technology is not a lone move. The Science and Technology process has been newly introduced to the 7th World Water Forum in order to propose and develop a new framework devoted to introducing applicable technology and providing a place to share the right partner and solutions for water throughout the globe.

Ladies and Gentlemen,

With this backdrop, I would like to re-iterate the aim of the discussion today: To make proposals for establishing close coordination between sustainable development and disaster risk reduction at all aspects of policy-making, planning and programming of infrastructure and social systems, human resource mobilization, and for creation of structures and mechanisms to implement disaster risk reduction at all levels of society, and for incubating innovative science and technology that would guide us in all phases of disaster management cycle.

It is my hope that the discussions today will result in a productive, informative and constructive move towards this direction.

Thank you